Sorin Stati Top Student Paper Award

For each IADA conference, PhD and postdoctoral students can submit a 15-page paper to be considered for the Sorin Stati Top Student Paper Award.

The student who will receive this award will get 300 euros and a free membership renewal for the following year.

How to proceed

Once their abstracts are accepted, students who want to be considered for this award have to email the conference organizers a 15-page paper at least two months before the conference takes place. A committee of three board members will then read these papers and attend the students' presentations. Based on these two experiences, they will then determine who receive the Sorin Stati Top Student Paper Award, which will be given during the closing ceremony of the conference.

Criteria for this award.

Connection with the dialogue analysis (10%)

- The paper does not only analyze language use, but also analyze the dialogical character of language use

Research and theoretical framing (25%)

- Well-articulated research objectives and/or well-formulated research questions
- Appropriate and adequate literature review beyond mere enumeration of references but with good synthesis and critical analysis that lead to the establishment of a sound theoretical framework to inform the study
- Required concepts and jargon are introduced judiciously and clearly

Research context, methodology and analysis (25%)

- If this is an empirical paper:
  - Clear and precise description of the empirical context
  - Clearly and appropriately established match between the research inquiry / theoretical framing and the methodology (empirical or otherwise) employed
  - Completeness and quality of analysis

- If this is a theoretical paper:
A novel theoretical exposition or development of a theoretical framework through rigorous synthesis, deduction and/or challenges of existing knowledge and prior research
Development of new theory or theoretical framework with the support of the authors’ prior study(ies) is a plus

**Discussion & conclusion (25%)**

- In-depth interpretation and rise-above from the research findings and prior literature by providing novel insights and expositions that stretch, refine or challenge the theoretical constructs
- Promotion of a continuing and significant research agenda, or, improved, adaptable and scalable professional practices
- Well-articulated limitations in the reported study

**Presentation (15%)**

- Readability, coherence, effective organization and presentation of concepts, facts, expositions, figures, tables, etc.
- Use of clear, concise and comprehensible language