

Sorin Stati Top Student Paper Award

For each IADA conference, PhD and postdoctoral students can submit a 15-page paper to be considered for the **Sorin Stati Top Student Paper Award**.

The student who will receive this award will get **300 euros** and a **free membership renewal for the following year**.

How to proceed

Once their abstracts are accepted, students who want to be considered for this award have to email the conference organizers a 15-page paper at least two months before the conference takes place. A committee of three board members will then read these papers and attend the students' presentations. Based on these two experiences, they will then determine who receive the **Sorin Stati Top Student Paper Award**, which will be given during the closing ceremony of the conference.

Criteria for this award.

Connection with the dialogue analysis (10%)

- The paper does not only analyze language use, but also analyze the **dialogical** character of language use

Research and theoretical framing (25%)

- Well-articulated research objectives and/or well-formulated research questions
- Appropriate and adequate literature review beyond mere enumeration of references but with good synthesis and critical analysis that lead to the establishment of a sound theoretical framework to inform the study
- Required concepts and jargon are introduced judiciously and clearly

Research context, methodology and analysis (25%)

- If this is an empirical paper:
 - Clear and precise description of the empirical context
 - Clearly and appropriately established match between the research inquiry / theoretical framing and the methodology (empirical or otherwise) employed
 - Completeness and quality of analysis
- If this is a theoretical paper:

- A novel theoretical exposition or development of a theoretical framework through rigorous synthesis, deduction and/or challenges of existing knowledge and prior research
- Development of new theory or theoretical framework with the support of the authors' prior study(ies) is a plus

Discussion & conclusion (25%)

- In-depth interpretation and rise-above from the research findings and prior literature by providing novel insights and expositions that stretch, refine or challenge the theoretical constructs
- Promotion of a continuing and significant research agenda, or, improved, adaptable and scalable professional practices
- Well-articulated limitations in the reported study

Presentation (15%)

- Readability, coherence, effective organization and presentation of concepts, facts, expositions, figures, tables, etc.
- Use of clear, concise and comprehensible language